Website Evaluation Form

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated: http://atr555.neocities.org/islt_4360/Pilot_Site/Redmond_Pilot.HTML

2. Author of site evaluated: Anthony Redmond

3. Evaluator's first name: Taylour

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer: MacBook Pro with Retina Display, 2.7 GHz Intel Core i5, 8 GB 1867 MHz DDR3

2. Browser: Google Chrome Version 54.0.2840.71 (64-bit)

3. Internet connection: cable modem

III Design and Development

<u>**Instruction**</u>: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.	1	2	3	4	**<u>5</u>**

<u>Please explain your feedback</u>
There are links at the top and bottom of each webpage, helps to navigate well

2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.	1	2	3	**<u>4</u>**	5

<u>Please explain your feedback</u>
Text is easy to read with color choices, coordinates well.

3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.	1	2	3	4	**<u>5</u>**

<u>Please explain your feedback</u>
Nothing too confusing about the navigation on your site

4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.	1	2	3	4	**<u>5</u>**

<u>Please explain your feedback</u>
Home link on every webpage

5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.	1	2	3	**<u>4</u>**	5

<u>Please explain your feedback</u>
Images are relevant to the content

6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.	1	2	3	**<u>4</u>**	5

<u>Please explain your feedback</u>
Images take a few seconds to load

7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.	1	2	3	4	**<u>5</u>**

<u>Please explain your feedback</u>
Images include height, width, and alt attributes

8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.	1	2	3	4	**<u>5</u>**

Please explain your feedback
No issues with text readability. The white text works great with the dark background colors chosen

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
No issues with this as far as I can tell

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CONTENT					
1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
All pages contain a title in the title bar

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Links are clearly marked and have purpose for the website

3. Links are up-to-date and functional.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
All links are functioning

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
All content is easy to access and not too far into the website

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Website has a definite purpose and will easily encourage users back that are interested in the specific content

6. Information is accurate and current.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Doesn't seem to be any issues with the accuracy of the content as far as I'm concerned

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.	1	2	3	4	**5**

<u>Please explain your feedback</u>
A lot of information on the webpages, definitely stimulates thinking and reflection on the topic

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree

CREDIBILITY

1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	**4**	5

Please explain your feedback
Could add more info about your own experience and credentials

2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Email is listed at the bottom of every webpage

3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Seems to be bias-free

4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	**4**	5

Please explain your feedback
Maybe add sources for some of the information given on the website, specifically with the legal info

5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
No grammar issues that I could find

6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback
Lists month and day last updated